Anthony J. Diana MAYER BROWN LLP 1675 Broadway New York, NY 10019-5820 adiana@mayerbrown.com Telephone: (212) 506-2500 Facsimile: (212) 262-1910

Attorney for Plaintiff AT&T Mobility LLC

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

AT&T MOBILITY LLC,

V.

Plaintiff,

JAVIER HIDALGO, CHRISTOPHER MARLBOROUGH, and HELEN LUCIANO,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:11-cv-03907-SJF-AKT

ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

PLAINTIFF AT&T MOBILITY LLC'S NOTICE OF RULINGS REGARDING PENDING MOTIONS FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND TO COMPEL ARBITRATION

As the Court is aware, this action is one of eight lawsuits that AT&T Mobility LLC ("ATTM") has filed to obtain injunctions against a series of identical arbitrations brought by counsel for defendants, each of which seeks to enjoin the proposed merger between ATTM and T-Mobile USA, Inc. In each action, the parties have filed the same motions: ATTM has moved for a preliminary injunction of the arbitrations, and the defendants have moved to compel arbitration of ATTM's challenge to the arbitrability of the defendants' Demands for Arbitration.

Earlier today, two federal courts resolved the pending motions. In the order attached as

Exhibit 1, Judge Legrome D. Davis of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania issued a preliminary

injunction barring the defendant from "arbitrating the claims set forth in her arbitration demand"

and denied her motion to compel arbitration. Order at 2, AT&T Mobility LLC v. Smith, No. 2:11-

cv-05157-LDD (E.D. Pa. Oct. 7, 2011). In the order attached as Exhibit 2, Judge P. Kevin Castel

of the Southern District of New York agreed that the five defendants' demands cannot be

arbitrated under ATTM's provision: "As the only relief sought by the individual defendants is

relief that is foreclosed by the language of the arbitration provision, the demand for arbitration is

beyond the scope of disputes that the parties have agreed to arbitrate." Mem. and Order at 7,

AT&T Mobility LLC v. Gonnello, No. 1:11-cv-056336-PKC (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 7, 2011). Judge

Castel therefore denied the defendants' motion to compel arbitration and concluded that his

ruling mooted the need to resolve ATTM's preliminary injunction motion. *Id.* at 8.

Dated: October 7, 2011

By: <u>/s/</u>

Anthony J. Diana

MAYER BROWN LLP 1675 Broadway

New York, NY 10019-5820

Telephone: (212) 506-2500 Facsimile: (212) 262-1910

Attorney for Plaintiff AT&T Mobility LLC

Of counsel

Andrew J. Pincus

Evan M. Tager

Archis A. Parasharami

Kevin Ranlett

MAYER BROWN LLP

1999 K Street, N.W.

2

Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 263-3217 Facsimile: (202) 263-5217